SUBJECT No. 9.

Suspension of assessment on Shanbhogi service inam lands pending revision of *potgi*.

Mr. D. S. Hanumanta Rao, Devanhalli Taluk.

The mover urged that, pending orders on the subject of revising the scale of potgi of Shanbhogs, the full assessment that was being levied on Shanbhogi inam lands might be ordered to be withheld.

The Second Member of Council pointed out that service inam lands were very often in the hands of people who were not actually doing the duties of a Shanbhog and that what was required in order to help the Shanbhog who was actually doing the duty was to recover the assessment from the hissedar and pay it to the Shanbhog who was doing the duty.

Mr. Nilgiri Sanjeevayya suggested that the Shanbhogi service in the form in which it exists at present might be done away with and that a paid revenue agency might be more profitably employed in its stead from which a saving of about 2½ lakhs of rupees out of the *potgi* amount might be expected to be saved.

Mr. Karnick Krishnamurthi Rao suggested that the difficulty complained of by the mover would be obviated if it was ordered that the *hissedar* who actually enjoyed the service inam land should pay the assessment thereon to the man who was actually working as Shanbhog.

The Dewan replied that the suggestion would be noted for consideration.

SUBJECT No. 10.

Unoccupied lands to be granted on *darkhast* to the first applicant for an upset price.

Mr. Sangana Basappa, Chamrajnagar Municipality.

The member urged that unoccupied lands might be granted to the first applicant for an upset price instead of by auction sale, as the present method of disposal was not beneficial to poor people.

The Second Member of Council expressed doubts as to the proposal proving to be of real benefit to the poor as it was usually the richer and more influential people rather than the poor that would get information about good lands available and get them by *darkhast*.

The Dewan pointed out that an order was already in force authorising the disposal, at an upset price, of all assessed lands on which there was no *malki* or the value of the *malki* on which did not exceed the assessment on the land. He therefore thought that no change in the existing procedure was called for.

SUBJECT No. 11.

Prompt disposal of revenue and muzrai cases and appeals.

Messrs. Belur Srinivasa Iyengar, Bangalore Taluk and H. Krishna Sastry, Krishnarajpete Taluk.

The movers urged that, even in Districts where the judicial separation scheme had been introduced, there was delay in the disposal of revenue and muzrai cases and appeals were pending before Revenue Sub-Divisional Officers. As this was due to calendars of cases disposed of by Sub-Divisional Officers not being submitted to, and checked by, Deputy Commissioners, they suggested that steps might be taken to arrange for such calendars being submitted to, and scrutinised by the Deputy Commissioners in future.

The Dewan replied that the suggestion would be noted for consideration.

SUBJECT No. 12.

Providing waiting rooms in each taluk office in the State.

Mr. H. Narayana Rao, Molakalmuru Taluk.

The mover urged that waiting rooms might be provided in each taluk office in the State as great inconvenience was being felt at present for want of these by persons coming to these offices on business from distant villlages.

The Dewan replied that there were no funds available to give effect to the proposal just then, but the subject would be noted for consideration when funds were available.